Da	te of Completion	11/19/20	24		
Sta	ate: <u>Alabama</u>			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	2025
	Overall Report Two-parent Rep	ort $\frac{X}{X}$	(check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate?	yes X_no
		PAI	e .	nges Made Since FY 2005 on for EACH change)	
1.	Name of eligibility of	change: Ea	arned Income Disrega	rd	
2.	Implementation date	e of eligibil	ity change: 10/1/09		
3.	(timely and accurate received -100% of six months at 100% first three months ar 7/1/06 provides three	ely reported earnings di effective 7 nd never go e additiona	l by the client) would sregarded; followed b /1/06. Under the prev t the 50% disregards o l months of eligibility	or policy: Original policy provided that earn be disregarded as follows: 1 st 3 months wag by six months disregard at 50% of earnings. Fious policy most cases were ineligible at the due primarily to low benefit levels. Policy et and began in month four of earnings. Effect nal 6 months to a total of 12.	tes are Changed to e end of the ffective
4.	(attach supporting m earnings in FY 2005	naterials to 5 will be su	this form): To determ btracted from the perc	estimated impact of this eligibility change ine the impact on the caseload the percent of ent of cases with earnings for the fiscal year en be applied to the total caseload to determine	being
	FY 2005=15%; FY	2024= 29.	79% Difference =14.7	9%	
	FY 2024 caseload: 5	5793 X 14.'	79% =857 cases		
	broader caseload aff	fected by the	is change and applied	rent caseload, the state determined the percer that percentage to the two-parent caseload t pact is indicated in parenthesis.	
	Temporary Assistan	ce for Nee	dy Families, Table 43	Characteristics and Financial Circumstances- as published on the ACF website and estima cal Reports, Work Program Profile Summary	ate for FY
5.	Estimated average n	nonthly im	pact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: 857	7 (5)

Da	te of Completion	11/19/2024	
Sta	ate: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which c	redit applies: 2025
1.	Name of eligibility	change: Non-compliance with JOBS – Recipients 3 rd Incider	nce
2.	Implementation date	e of eligibility change: 8/1/06	
3.	lasting more than th months followed by immediate 12-mont	y, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3 rd i ree months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assi a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3 rd incidence of n disqualification. Under old policy, the case would have be ualifies the case for six additional months and eliminates the	istance unit size for three non-compliance results in an en disqualified for six months.
4.	(attach supporting n will be identified by cases will then be us 6 months of the 12-b	nethodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eli- naterials to this form) The number of cases disqualified in eac termination reason code in the State's automated system (FA sed to show the effect of the change in months 1-3 and again month disqualification period which includes the previously nths 4-9). The number of cases each month after the first mo	ch month of the fiscal year ACETS). The number of in months 10-12 for a total of existing 6-month
	broader caseload aff	act of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state deter ected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-p be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthes	parent caseload thus
5.	Estimated average r	nonthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comp	parison year: <u>-52 (0)</u>

Da	te of Co	mpletion	11/19/2024
Sta	ate: <u>A</u>	<u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025
1.	Name of	eligibility c	ange: Non-cooperation with Child Support – Recipients 3 rd Incidence
2.	Impleme	entation date	of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3.	lasting m months f immedia	nore than thr followed by a te 12-month	, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3 rd incidence of non-cooperation we months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3 rd incidence of non-cooperation results in an disqualification. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. alifies the case for six additional months and eliminates the benefit reduction period.
4.	(attach si will be id cases will 6 months	upporting ma dentified by ll then be use s of the 12-m ïcation (mor	thodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: tterials to this form) The number of cases disqualified in each month of the fiscal year ermination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of d to show the effect of the change in months 1-3 and again in months 10-12 for a total of onth disqualification period which includes the previously existing 6-month ths 4-9). The number of cases each month after the first month will be adjusted by a 5%
	broader o	caseload affe	ct of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the cted by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus e similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.
5.	Estimate	d average m	onthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-61 (0)</u>

Da	Date of Completion 11/19/2024			
Sta	ate: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025		
1.	Name of eligibili	y change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Applicants		
2.	Implementation d	ate of eligibility change: 8-1-06		
3.	followed by disqu	licy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: Award with benefit reduction adification dependent on number of months of non-cooperation. New Policy – deny the gible for application period. Maximum of two months.		
4.	(attach supporting during the application	e methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: g materials to this form) The number of cases denied for non-cooperation with Child Support ation process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.		
	broader caseload	npact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus ild be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.		
5.	Estimated averag	e monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: $-1(0)$		

Da	Pate of Completion 11/19/2024		
St	State: Alabama Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025		
1.	Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Applicants		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: None. New Policy – den application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.	y the	
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases denied for non-compliance with JOBS the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated syst (FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.		
	NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of t broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.	he	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: $-1(0)$		

D٤	ate of Completio	n 11/19/2024	
Sta	State: Alabama Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025		
1.	Name of eligibilit	y change: Time Limit Hardship Extension Disqualification	
2.	Implementation d	ate of eligibility change: 12/1/08	
3.	or spouse of the g	licy, including the change from prior policy: In order to receive past 60 months the grantee rantee must have a hardship <u>and</u> s/he must be cooperating with Child Support and JOBS as are to cooperate results in permanent disqualification.	
4.	(attach supporting	e methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: g materials to this form) The number of cases will be identified by termination reason code in ed system (FACETS). The number of such terminated cases each month will be counted for	
cas	seload affected by	ct of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would I. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.	

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0(0)

	Date of Co	mpletion	11/19/2024
--	-------------------	----------	------------

State: <u>Alabama</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025

- 1. Name of eligibility change: Applicant Job Search
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: April 30, 2014
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Applicants are required to make application for employment at three places of business during the application process unless exempt or good cause exists for not doing so. Failure to comply with job search activities results in denial of the application.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases denied for failure to comply with job search activities during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the boarder caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -60 (0)

Date of Completion 11/19/2024

State: <u>Alabama</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025

1. Name of eligibility change: Non- compliance with JOBS due to Voluntary Quit or Job Refusal

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: April 30, 2014

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior Policy: Voluntary quitting or refusing a job without good cause is noncompliance with JOBS and resulted in penalties of a one month disqualification for the first occurrence; 6 months for a second occurrence and 12 months for third and subsequent occurrences. New policy: Permanent disqualification.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of such cases will be identified by termination reason code in the state automated system (FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month. (Previously, cases affected by the prior policy were reported in the JOBS noncompliance statistics on other impact tables.)

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -0(0)

Date of Completion 1	1/19/2024
----------------------	-----------

State: <u>Alabama</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-Compliance with JOBS-Recipients-2nd Incidence

- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 2nd incidence of non-compliance lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction in payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six month disqualification. New policy: The new policy eliminates the benefit reduction period.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases disqualified in each month of the fiscal year will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases will then be used to show the effect of the change for 3 months. The number of cases each month after the first month will be adjusted by a 5% decay factor.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -51 (0)

State:	f Completion Alabama	11/19/2024 Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025
State:	Alaballia	Fiscal Tear to which creuit applies: 2025
1. Nan	ne of eligibility	change: Non-cooperation with Child Support –Recipients-2 nd Incidence
2. Imp	lementation date	e of eligibility change: 8/1/06
lasti	ng more than th oths followed by	y, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 2 nd incidence of non-compliance ree months resulted in 25% reduction in payment for the assistance unit size for three a six month disqualification. New policy: The new policy eliminates the benefit reduction
(attach s identifie be used	supporting mate ed by terminatio	thodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: rials to this form) The number of cases disqualified in each month of the fiscal year will be n reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases will then ect of the change for 3 months. The number of cases each month after the first month will cay factor.
caseload	d affected by thi	of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader s change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5.Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -54 (0)

State: Alabama Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025 1. Name of eligibility change: Failure to Repay Misspent Benefits 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: July 2, 2014 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Failure to repay misspent benefits, meaning those accessed at unauthorized locations such as alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or lottery tickets, results i case closure. 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: tattach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated each month of the fiscal year will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month. NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.	Da	te of Completion	11/19/2024	
 Implementation date of eligibility change: July 2, 2014 Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Failure to repay misspent benefits, meaning those accessed at unauthorized locations such as liquor stores, gambling establishments and strip clubs, etc. co used to purchase unauthorized items such as alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or lottery tickets, results i case closure. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated each month of the fiscal year will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month. NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus 	Sta	State: Alabama Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025		
 Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Failure to repay misspent benefits, meaning those accessed at unauthorized locations such as liquor stores, gambling establishments and strip clubs, etc. or used to purchase unauthorized items such as alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or lottery tickets, results i case closure. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated each month of the fiscal year will tidentified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month. NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus 	1.	Name of eligibility c	hange: Failure to Repay Misspent Benefits	
 those accessed at unauthorized locations such as liquor stores, gambling establishments and strip clubs, etc. or used to purchase unauthorized items such as alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or lottery tickets, results it case closure. 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated each month of the fiscal year will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month. NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus 	2.	Implementation date	of eligibility change: July 2, 2014	
 (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated each month of the fiscal year will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month. NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus 	3.	those accessed at una used to purchase una	authorized locations such as liquor stores, gambling establishments and strip clubs, etc. or	
	4.	(attach supporting m identified by termina terminated each mor NOTE: For the impa broader caseload affe	aterials to this form) The number of cases terminated each month of the fiscal year will be ation reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases of the will be counted for one month. And the state determined the percent of the ected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus	
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)	5.	Estimated average m	onthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)	

Da	ate of Completion	11/19/2024
Sta	ate: Alabama	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025
1.	Name of eligibility of	change: Drug Screening- Applicants
2.	Implementation date	e of eligibility change: 10/1/15
3.		y, including the change from prior policy: Failure/refusal to agree to cooperate in and/or provide related information results in denial of the application.
4.	(attach supporting m requirement during t	nethodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: naterials to this form) The number of cases denied for failure /refusal to cooperate with this the application period will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.
	broader caseload aff	act of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the ected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.
5.	Estimated average n	nonthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0(0)

Da	ate of Completion 11/19/2024
Sta	ate: Alabama Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025
1.	Name of eligibility change: Drug Screening - Recipients
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: 10/1/15
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Failure/refusal of a recipient to cooperate with the requirement to take a drug screening results in case closure.
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated for failure/refusal to cooperate with this requirement will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of such terminated cases will be counted for one month.
	NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two-parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two-parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0(0)

Date of Completion 11/19/2024

Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2025

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Date of Completion 11/19/2024	
State:Alabama	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2025

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

(signature)

Faye Nelson

(name)

Acting Director, Family Assistance Division Deputy Commissioner for Family Resources

(title)