Da	Date of Completion 10/31/2023					
Sta	ate: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024				
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	X X	(check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parentyesparticipation rate?X		
		PAR	e .	nges Made Since FY 2005 on for EACH change)		
1.	Name of eligibility cha	nge: Eai	rned Income Disregar	d		
2.	Implementation date of	eligibili	ty change: 10/1/09			
3.	(timely and accurately received -100% of ear six months at 100% eff first three months and r 7/1/06 provides three a	reported nings dis ective 7/ never got dditional	by the client) would by sregarded; followed by 1/06. Under the previous the 50% disregards do months of eligibility	r policy: Original policy provided that earned income be disregarded as follows: 1 st 3 months wages are y six months disregard at 50% of earnings. Changed to hous policy most cases were ineligible at the end of the ue primarily to low benefit levels. Policy effective and began in month four of earnings. Effective 10/1/09 hal 6 months to a total of 12.		
4.	(attach supporting mate earnings in FY 2005 with	rials to t Il be sub	his form): To determi stracted from the perce	estimated impact of this eligibility change ne the impact on the caseload the percent of cases with ent of cases with earnings for the fiscal year being n be applied to the total caseload to determine the		
	FY 2005=15%; FY 20	23= 26.1	% Difference =11.1%			
	FY 2023 caseload: 563	5 X 11.1	% =625 cases			
	broader caseload affect	ed by thi	s change and applied	ent caseload, the state determined the percent of the that percentage to the two parent caseload thus act is indicated in parenthesis.		
	Temporary Assistance	for Need	y Families, Table 43 a	haracteristics and Financial Circumstances-FY 2005, as published on the ACF website and estimate for FY cal Reports, Work Program Profile Summary for FY		
5.	Estimated average mon	thly imp	act of this eligibility c	change on caseload in comparison year: 625(4)		

Da	te of Comp	letion	10/31/2023
St	ate: <u>Ala</u>	<u>bama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024
1.	Name of elig	gibility c	change: Non-compliance with JOBS – Recipients 3 rd Incidence
2.	Implementa	tion date	e of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3.	lasting more months follo immediate 1	e than thi owed by 2 month	ey, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3 rd incidence of non-compliance ree months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3 rd incidence of non-compliance results in an h disqualification. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. qualifies the case for six additional months and eliminates the benefit reduction period.
4.	(attach supp will be ident cases will th 6 months of	orting m tified by nen be us the 12 n tion (mo	nethodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: naterials to this form) The number of cases disqualified in each month of the fiscal year v termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of sed to show the effect of the change in months 1-3 and again in months 10-12 for a total of month disqualification period which includes the previously existing 6 month onths 4-9). The number of cases each month after the first month will be adjusted by a 5%
	broader case	eload aff	bact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the fected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.
5.	Estimated a	verage m	nonthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-54 (0)</u>

Da	te of Completion	10/31/2023
Sta	nte: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024
1.	Name of eligibility	change: Non-cooperation with Child Support – Recipients 3rd Incidence
2.	Implementation dat	e of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3.	lasting more than th months followed by immediate 12 mont	y, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3 rd incidence of non-cooperation ree months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3 rd incidence of non-cooperation results in an h disqualification. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. Jualifies the case for six additional months and eliminates the benefit reduction period.
4.	(attach supporting n will be identified by cases will then be u 6 months of the 12 n	hethodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: naterials to this form) The number of cases disqualified in each month of the fiscal year retermination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of sed to show the effect of the change in months 1-3 and again in months 10-12 for a total of month disqualification period which includes the previously existing 6 month onths 4-9). The number of cases each month after the first month will be adjusted by a 5%
	broader caseload aff	act of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the fected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.
5.	Estimated average r	nonthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-60 (0)</u>

Da	te of (Completion	10/31/2023
Sta	ate:	<u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024
1.	Name	of eligibility of	change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Applicants
2.	Imple	mentation date	e of eligibility change: 8-1-06
3.	follow	ed by disqual	y, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: Award with benefit reduction ification dependent on number of months of non-cooperation. New Policy – deny the ole for application period. Maximum of two months.
4.	(attach during	supporting m the application	nethodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: naterials to this form) The number of cases denied for non-cooperation with Child Support on process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.
	broade	er caseload aff	act of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the fected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.
5.	Estima	ated average n	nonthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: $-3(0)$

Da	te of Completion	10/31/2023
Sta	ate: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024
1.	Name of eligibility	change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Applicants
2.	Implementation dat	te of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3.		cy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: None. New Policy – deny the ible for application period. Maximum of two months.
4.	(attach supporting i the application prod	methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: materials to this form) The number of cases denied for non-compliance with JOBS during cess will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated system umber of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.
	broader caseload af	pact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the fected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus d be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.
5.	Estimated average	monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: $-1(0)$

Da	Date of Completion 10/31/2023				
Sta	ate: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024			
1.	Name of eligibility	change: Time Limit Hardship Extension Disqualification			
2.	Implementation date	e of eligibility change: 12/1/08			
3.	or spouse of the gran	y, including the change from prior policy: In order to receive past 60 months the grantee ntee must have a hardship <u>and</u> s/he must be cooperating with Child Support and JOBS as to cooperate results in permanent disqualification.			
4.	(attach supporting n	nethodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: naterials to this form) The number of cases will be identified by termination reason code in system (FACETS). The number of such terminated cases each month will be counted for			
cas	seload affected by thi	of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader s change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would That impact is indicated in parenthesis.			
5.	Estimated average r	nonthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: $0(0)$			

Date of Completion 10	/31/2023
-----------------------	----------

State: <u>Alabama</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024

- 1. Name of eligibility change: Applicant Job Search
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: April 30, 2014
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Applicants are required to make application for employment at three places of business during the application process unless exempt or good cause exists for not doing so. Failure to comply with job search activities results in denial of the application.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases denied for failure to comply with job search activities during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the boarder caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -75 (0)

Date of Completion 10/31/2023

State: <u>Alabama</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024

1. Name of eligibility change: Non- compliance with JOBS due to Voluntary Quit or Job Refusal

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: April 30, 2014

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior Policy: Voluntary quitting or refusing a job without good cause is noncompliance with JOBS and resulted in penalties of a one month disqualification for the first occurrence; 6 months for a second occurrence and 12 months for third and subsequent occurrences. New policy: Permanent disqualification.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of such cases will be identified by termination reason code in the state automated system (FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month. (Previously, cases affected by the prior policy were reported in the JOBS noncompliance statistics on other impact tables.)

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -0(0)

Date of Completion	10/31/2023
--------------------	------------

State: <u>Alabama</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-Compliance with JOBS-Recipients-2nd Incidence

- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 2nd incidence of non-compliance lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction in payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six month disqualification. New policy: The new policy eliminates the benefit reduction period.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases disqualified in each month of the fiscal year will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases will then be used to show the effect of the change for 3 months. The number of cases each month after the first month will be adjusted by a 5% decay factor.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -48 (0)

Da	te of Co	mpletion	10/31/2023
Sta	ite:	Alabama	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024
1.	Name of	f eligibility	change: Non-cooperation with Child Support –Recipients-2 nd Incidence
2.	Implem	entation date	e of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3.	lasting r	nore than th	y, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 2 nd incidence of non-compliance ree months resulted in 25% reduction in payment for the assistance unit size for three a six month disqualification. New policy: The new policy eliminates the benefit reduction
(att be the	ach supp identified n be used	orting mate l by termina l to show th	thodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: rials to this form)) The number of cases disqualified in each month of the fiscal year will tion reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of cases will e effect of the change for 3 months. The number of cases each month after the first month 6 decay factor.
cas	eload aff	fected by thi	of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader s change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5.Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -53 (0)

Da	Date of Completion 10/31/2023				
State: Alabama Fiscal Year to which credit applies					
1.	Name of eligibility change: Failure to Repay Misspent Benefits				
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 2, 2014				
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Failure to repay misspent benefits, meaning those accessed at unauthorized locations such as liquor stores, gambling establishments and strip clubs, etc. or used to purchase unauthorized items such as alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or lottery tickets, results in case closure.				
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated each month of the fiscal year will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system(FACETS). The number of cases terminated each month will be counted for one month.				
	NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.				
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: $0(0)$				

Da	Date of Completion 10/31/2023				
Sta	ate: Alabama		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2024		
1.	Name of eligibility c	hange: Drug Screening- Applica	ints		
2.	Implementation date	of eligibility change: 10/1/15			
3.			r policy: Failure/refusal to agree to cooperate in n results in denial of the application.		
4.	(attach supporting m this requirement duri	aterials to this form) The numb- ing the application period will be	estimated impact of this eligibility change: er of cases denied for failure /refusal to cooperate with identified by denial reason code entered into the State's enied cases each month will be counted for two months.		
	broader caseload affe	• •	nt caseload, the state determined the percent of the that percentage to the two parent caseload thus act is indicated in parenthesis.		
5.	Estimated average m	onthly impact of this eligibility of	thange on caseload in comparison year: $0(0)$		

Da	Date of Completion 10/31/2023				
Sta	State: Alabama Fiscal Year to wh	ich credit applies: 2024			
1.	1. Name of eligibility change: Drug Screening - Recipients				
2.	2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 10/1/15				
3.	 Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Failure/refus the requirement to take a drug screening results in case closure. 	sal of a recipient to cooperate with			
4.	4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of the (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases terminated to this requirement will be identified by termination reason code in the State's number of such terminated cases will be counted for one month.	for failure/refusal to cooperate with			
	NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state of broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in pare	two parent caseload thus			
5.	5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in	comparison year: 0(0)			

Date of Completion 10/31/2023

Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: ____2024_

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Date of Completion 10/31/2023	
State:Alabama	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2024

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

(signature)

Faye Nelson

(name)

Acting Director, Family Assistance Division Deputy Commissioner for Family Resources

(title)