

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2012

Overall Report (check one)
Two-parent Report

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? yes no

PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005
(Complete this section for EACH change)

1. Name of eligibility change: Earned Income Disregard
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 10/1/09
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Original policy provided that earned income (timely and accurately reported by the client) would be disregarded as follows: 1st 3 months – 100% of earnings disregarded; followed by six months disregard at 50% of earnings. Changed to six months at 100% effective 7/1/06. Under the previous policy most cases were ineligible at the end of the first three months and never got the 50% disregards due primarily to low benefit levels. Policy effective 7/1/06 provides three additional months of eligibility and began in month four of earnings. Effective 10/1/09 the earned income disregard was extended an additional 6 months to a total of 12.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

Example: July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May June
 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

July – September: Disregard is the same as original policy.

October – June: New months of disregard (identified from JOBS statistics).

For all new earners, the benefit of the change in policy occurs/begins in the 4th month. The methodology will count new earners each month and delay the impact of the number of affected cases until the fourth, through twelfth months of disregard. Therefore, the maximum number of months considered per case is 9.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2012

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Recipients
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3rd incidence of non-compliance lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3rd incidence of non-compliance results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impact begins in the 7th month and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form): The number of cases will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used beginning six months later for six months, i.e., the negative effect of the change begins with month 7 and the duration is six months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion	
State: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2012
<p>1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Recipients</p> <p>2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06</p> <p>3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3rd incidence of non-cooperation lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3rd incidence of non-cooperation results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impact begins in the 7th month and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.</p> <p>4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form): The number of cases will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used beginning six months later for six months, i.e., the negative effect of the change begins with month 7 and the duration is six months.</p> <p>NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.</p>	
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>0 (0)</u>	

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2012

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Applicants
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8-1-06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: Award with benefit reduction followed by disqualification dependent on number of months of non-cooperation. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form): The number of cases denied for non-cooperation with Child Support during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State’s automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2012

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Applicants
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: None. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form): The number of cases denied for non-compliance with JOBS during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State’s automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2012

1. Name of eligibility change: Time Limit Hardship Extension Disqualification
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 12/1/08
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: None. New policy: In order to receive past 60 months the grantee or spouse of the grantee must have a hardship and s/he must be cooperating with Child Support and JOBS as appropriate. Failure to cooperate with either program results in termination and permanent disqualification.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form): The number of cases will be identified by termination reason code in the state automated system (FACETS). The number of such terminated cases each month will be counted indefinitely.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Overall Credit

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2012
2				Date of Completion:	12/1/2011	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit					
4						
5	<u>Impact of All Changes</u>			<u>Caseload Reduction Calculation</u>		
6	Earned Income Disregard	0		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040	
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	0		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	0		Total FY 2005 Caseload	20,297	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	0		FY 2011 TANF Caseload	23,234	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	0		FY 2011 SSP Caseload	0	
11				Total FY 2011 Caseload	23,234	
12				Excess MOE Cases in FY 2011	4,514	
13				Adjusted FY 2011 Caseload	18,720	
14				Caseload Decline	1,577	7.8%
15				Decline – Net Impact	1,577	
16						
17				Caseload Reduction Credit =		7.8%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Net Impact		0			
27						
28						

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Two-Parent Credit**

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2012
2				Date of Completion:	12/1/2011	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit -- 2-Parent Caseload					
4						
5	<u>Impact of All Changes</u>			<u>Caseload Reduction Calculation</u>		
6	Earned Income Disregard	0		FY 2005 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	0	
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	0		FY 2005 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	0		Total FY 2005 Caseload	257	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	0		FY 2011 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	165	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	0		FY 2011 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	0	
11				Total FY 2011 2-Parent Caseload	165	
12				Excess MOE 2-Parent Cases in FY 20	32	
13				Adjusted FY 2011 Caseload	133	
14				Caseload Decline	124	48.3%
15				Decline – Net Impact	124	
16						
17				2-Parent Caseload Reduction Credit =		48.3%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Net Impact	0				
27						
28						

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Excess MOE Worksheet**

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2012
2				Date of Completion:		12/1/2011
3				<u>Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet</u>		
4						
5	Caseload Data			Expenditure Data		
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040		Total Expenditures		
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257		FY 2011 Total Federal Expenditures		\$95,844,428
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	20,297		FY 2011 Total MOE Expenditures		\$75,025,160
9	FY 2011 TANF Caseload	23,234		Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)		\$170,869,588
10	FY 2011 SSP Caseload	0				
11	Total FY 2011 Caseload	23,234		Assistance Expenditures		
12				FY 2011 Federal Expenditures on Assistance		\$46,680,055
13	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY 2011 MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$4,987,070
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)		\$51,667,125
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	257		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance		30.24%
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	257				
17	FY 2011 2-p TANF Caseload	165		Expenditures Per Case		
18	FY 2011 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case		\$7,354
19	Total FY 2011 Caseload	165		Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance		\$2,224
20						
21				MOE and Excess MOE		
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)		\$41,828,393
23				Excess MOE Expenditures		\$33,196,767
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$10,037,957
25	Adjusted Caseload Data					
26	Adjusted FY 2011 Overall Caseload	18,720		Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		4,514
27	Adjusted FY 2011 2-parent Caseload	133		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		32
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						