

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/02/13

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2014

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Recipients
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3rd incidence of non-compliance lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3rd incidence of non-compliance results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impact begins in the 7th month following the month of closure and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases disqualified will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used to show the effect of the change beginning with the 7th month following the month of closure and the duration is six months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -611 (-6)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/02/13

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2014

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Recipients
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3rd incidence of non-cooperation lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3rd incidence of non-cooperation results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impact begins in the 7th month following the month of closure and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used to show the effect of the change beginning in the 7th month following the month of closure and the duration is six months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -147 (-2)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/02/13

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2014

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Applicants
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8-1-06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: Award with benefit reduction followed by disqualification dependent on number of months of non-cooperation. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases denied for non-cooperation with Child Support during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -2(0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/02/13

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2014

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Applicants
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: None. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases denied for non-compliance with JOBS during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied cases each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -3 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/02/13

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2014

1. Name of eligibility change: Time Limit Hardship Extension Disqualification
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 12/1/08
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: In order to receive past 60 months the grantee or spouse of the grantee must have a hardship and s/he must be cooperating with Child Support and JOBS as appropriate. Failure to cooperate results in permanent disqualification.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form) The number of cases will be identified by termination reason code in the state automated system (FACETS). The number of such terminated cases each month will be counted for one month.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

Alabama

Policy Name

Earned Income Disregard

Date of Completion:

12/2/2013

Time of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2013												Grand Total	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Prior years carryover	7,063	7,129	7,315	6,589	5,782	4,843	4,034	3,215	2,415	1,636	730	896	896	Grand Total 82,934
Oct				896	896	896	896	896	896	896	896	896	896	
Nov					777	777	777	777	777	777	777	777	777	
Dec						453	453	453	453	453	453	453	453	
Jan							633	633	633	633	633	633	633	
Feb								660	660	660	660	660	660	
Mar									760	760	760	760	760	
Apr										838	838	838	838	
May											709	709	709	
Jun													662	
Jul														
Aug														
Sep														
Total	7,063	7,129	7,315	7,485	7,455	6,969	6,793	6,634	6,594	6,653	6,456	6,388	6,388	FY 2013 monthly average 6,911

Alabama

Policy Name

Non-Compliance with JOBS- Applicants

Date of Completion:

12/2/2013

Time of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2013												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Prior years carryover													
Oct	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Nov	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Dec	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Jan	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Feb	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Mar	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Apr	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
May	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Jun	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Jul	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Aug	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Sep	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Total	0	0	-1	-2	-2	-1	-2	-5	-4	-4	-7	-7	-7
	FY 2013 monthly average												-3
	Grand Total												-35

Alabama

Policy Name

Non-Compliance with JOBS-Recipients (12 Month DQ)

Date of Completion:

12/2/2013

Time of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2013												Grand Total
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Prior years carryover	-654	-636	-623	-616	-606	-616	-549	-474	-406	-306	-212	-102	
Oct								-99	-99	-99	-99	-99	
Nov									-97	-97	-97	-97	
Dec										-108	-108	-108	
Jan											-99	-99	
Feb												-124	
Mar													
Apr													
May													
Jun													
Jul													
Aug													
Sep													
Total	-654	-636	-623	-616	-606	-616	-549	-573	-602	-610	-615	-629	-7,329
	FY 2013 monthly average												-611

Alabama

Policy Name

Non-Cooperation with Child Support- Applicants

Date of Completion:

12/2/2013

Time of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2013												Grand Total		
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep			
Prior years carryover															
Oct	-3														
Nov		-3													
Dec			-1												
Jan				-1											
Feb					0										
Mar						-1									
Apr							0								
May								0							
Jun									0						
Jul										-3					
Aug											0				
Sep												-2			
Total	-3	-4	-2	-1	-1	-1	0	-3	-3	-3	-2	-2	0		
	FY 2013 monthly average														-2
															Grand Total
															-22

Alabama

Policy Name

Non-Cooperation with Child Support-Recipients (12 Month DQ)

Date of Completion:

12/2/2013

Time of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2013												Grand Total
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Prior years carryover	-160	-150	-143	-140	-141	-147	-130	-119	-100	-79	-51	-23	-1,764
Oct								-21	-21	-21	-21	-21	
Nov								-30	-30	-30	-30	-30	
Dec								-27	-27	-27	-27	-27	
Jan								-19	-19	-19	-19	-19	
Feb													
Mar													
Apr													
May													
Jun													
Jul													
Aug													
Sep													
Total	-160	-150	-143	-140	-141	-147	-130	-140	-151	-157	-148	-157	-147
													FY 2013 monthly average
													-147

Alabama

Policy Name

Time Limit Hardship Extension Disqualification

Date of Completion:

12/2/2013

Time of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2013												Grand Total	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Prior years carryover														
Oct	0												0	FY 2013 monthly average
Nov	0												0	
Dec	0												0	
Jan	0												0	
Feb	0												0	
Mar	0												0	
Apr	0												0	
May	0												0	
Jun	0												0	
Jul													0	
Aug													0	
Sep													0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Overall Credit

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2014
2				Date of Completion:	12/2/2013	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit					
4						
5	Impact of All Changes			<u>Caseload Reduction Calculation</u>		
6	Earned Income Disregard	6,911		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040	
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	-3		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	-611		Total FY 2005 Caseload	20,297	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	-2		FY 2013 TANF Caseload	19,774	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	-147		FY 2013 SSP Caseload	210	
11	Time Limit Hardship Ext. DQ	0		Total FY 2013 Caseload	19,984	
12				Excess MOE Cases in FY 2013	4,969	
13				Adjusted FY 2013 Caseload	15,015	
14				Caseload Decline	5,282	26.0%
15				Decline – Net Impact	11,430	
16						
17				Caseload Reduction Credit =		26.0%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Net Impact	6,148				
27						
28						

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Two-Parent Credit

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2014
2				Date of Completion:	12/2/2013	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit -- 2-Parent Caseload					
4						
5	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	Earned Income Disregard	73		FY 2005 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	0	
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	-3		FY 2005 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	-6		Total FY 2005 Caseload	257	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	-2		FY 2013 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	210	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	-2		FY 2013 SSP 2-Parent Caseload		
11	Time Limit Hardship Ext. DQ	0		Total FY 2013 2-Parent Caseload	210	
12				Excess MOE 2-Parent Cases in FY 2013	52	
13				Adjusted FY 2013 Caseload	158	
14				Caseload Decline	99	38.6%
15				Decline – Net Impact	159	
16						
17				2-Parent Caseload Reduction Credit =		38.6%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Net Impact	60				
27						
28						

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Excess MOE Worksheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2014
2				Date of Completion:		12/2/2013
3	Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet					
4						
5	Caseload Data			Expenditure Data		
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040		Total Expenditures		
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257		FY 2013 Total Federal Expenditures		\$82,615,946
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	20,297		FY 2013 Total MOE Expenditures		\$83,006,312
9	FY 2013 TANF Caseload	19,774		Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)		\$165,622,258
10	FY 2013 SSP Caseload	0				
11	Total FY 2013 Caseload	19,774		Assistance Expenditures		
12				FY 2013 Federal Expenditures on Assistance		\$46,611,339
13	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY 2013 MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$2,497,322
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)		\$49,108,661
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	257		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance		29.65%
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	257				
17	FY 2013 2-p TANF Caseload	210		Expenditures Per Case		
18	FY 2013 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case		\$8,376
19	Total FY 2013 Caseload	210		Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance		\$2,483
20						
21				MOE and Excess MOE		
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)		\$41,828,393
23				Excess MOE Expenditures		\$41,177,919
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$12,209,666
25	Adjusted Caseload Data					
26	Adjusted FY 2013 Overall Caseload	14,858		Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		4,916
27	Adjusted FY 2013 2-parent Caseload	158		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		52
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						